## **Economics 2030**

Martin J. Osborne

## Problem Set 9

- 1. Show that Nash's bargaining solution satisfies the INV axiom.
- (a) For any bargaining problem (U, d), let f(U, d) be the point in U on the 45° line through d for which v<sub>1</sub> (and v<sub>2</sub>) is as large as possible. Show that the bargaining solution f does not satisfy INV.
  - (b) For any bargaining problem (U, d), denote by  $b^1$  the member of U for which  $b_2^1 \ge d_2$  and  $b_1^1$  is as large as possible, and by  $b^2$  the member of U for which  $b_1^2 \ge d_1$  and  $b_2^2$  is as large as possible. Let f(U, d) be the member of U on the line through d and  $(b_1^1, b_2^2)$  for which  $v_1$  (and  $v_2$ ) is as large as possible. Show that the bargaining solution f does not satisfy IIA. (It satisfies the other three axioms.)
- Consider the bargaining solution that assigns to the bargaining problem (*U*, *d*) the Pareto efficient agreement in *U* on the line through *d* and (*x*<sub>1</sub><sup>\*</sup>, *x*<sub>2</sub><sup>\*</sup>), where *x*<sub>i</sub><sup>\*</sup> is the *maximal* payoff of player *i over all agreements in U*.

For each of Nash's axioms (INV, SYM, PAR, IIA), either show that the solution satisfies the axiom or show that it does not satisfy the axiom.

4. A firm and a union representing *L* workers negotiate a wageemployment contract. Each worker can obtain the wage  $w_0$  if she does not work for the firm. (Perhaps  $w_0$  is the wage in another firm, or the unemployment benefit.) The firm produces  $f(\ell)$  units of output when it employs  $\ell$  workers, where *f* is an increasing strictly concave function with f(0) = 0 and  $f(\ell) > \ell w_0$  for some  $\ell$ . The contract  $(w, \ell)$ , in which the firm pays the wage *w* and employs  $\ell$  workers, yields payoffs of  $f(\ell) - w\ell$  to the firm and  $\ell w + (L - \ell)w_0$  to the union. In the event of disagreement, the firm's payoff is 0 (given f(0) = 0) and the union's payoff is  $Lw_0$ .

A pair of payoffs is feasible if it takes the form  $(f(\ell) - w\ell, \ell w + (L - \ell)w_0)$  for some  $(w, \ell)$ . Notice that the sum of these payoffs is

 $f(\ell) + (L - \ell)w_0$ , independent of w. Thus, given the Pareto Efficiency of the Nash solution, the value of  $\ell$  in the Nash solution maximizes  $f(\ell) + (L - \ell)w_0$ . Denote this maximizer  $\ell^*$ . Find the wage rate w in the Nash solution.