Economics 2030

Fall 2018

Martin J. Osborne

Problem Set 3

1. Find all the Nash equilibria, in pure and mixed strategies, of the following strategic game. (Start by checking for dominated actions. Remember that an action may be dominated by a mixed strategy even though it is not dominated by any pure strategy.)

	L	M	R
Т	3,1	1,0	4,0
M	1,0	3,4	4,2
В	2,2	2,1	4,0

2. Consider the strategic game in Figure 1. Assume that $0 < \pi < 1$ and $v_A > \pi v_B > 0$.

	A	В
Α	0,0	v_A , $-v_A$
В	$v_B, -v_B$	$\pi v_B, -\pi v_B$

Figure 1. The game in Question 2.

- (a) Find the mixed strategy Nash equilibria of the game.
- (b) Suppose that player 1 has an additional action, *C*, that yields her the payoff *h* and yields player 2 the payoff 0, regardless of player 2's action. For each value of *h* with $0 \le h < v_B$ find a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium of this new game. [*Note that you are asked only to find one equilibrium for each value of h, not all equilibria.*]
- 3. Determine whether each of the following statements is true or false. (a) A mixed strategy that assigns positive probability to a strictly dominated action is strictly dominated. (b) A mixed strategy that assigns positive probability only to actions that are not strictly dominated is not strictly dominated.

4. Two candidates, *A* and *B*, compete in an election. Of the *n* citizens, *k* support candidate *A* and m (= n - k) support candidate *B*. Each citizen decides whether to vote, at a cost, for the candidate she supports, or to abstain. A citizen who abstains receives the Bernoulli payoff of 2 if the candidate she supports wins, 1 if this candidate ties for first place, and 0 if this candidate loses. A citizen who votes receives the payoffs 2 - c, 1 - c, and -c in these three cases, where 0 < c < 1.

Assume that $k \leq m$. Show that there is a value of p between 0 and 1 such that the game has a mixed strategy Nash equilibrium in which every supporter of candidate A votes with probability p, k supporters of candidate B vote with certainty, and the remaining m - k supporters of candidate B abstain. How do the probability p that a supporter of candidate A votes and the expected number of voters ("turnout") depend upon c? (Note that if every supporter of candidate A votes with probability p then the probability that exactly k - 1 of them vote is $kp^{k-1}(1-p)$.)

- 5. Consider Cournot's oligopoly game with two firms, linear inverse demand function *P* given by $P(Q) = \alpha Q$, and constant unit cost c > 0 for both firms.
 - (a) Find the set of actions of a firm that are strictly dominated. Use the diagram of cross-sections of firm 1's payoff function π_1 in Figure 2.
 - (b) After the set of strictly dominated actions for each player are deleted, are any remaining actions strictly dominated? Again, refer to the figure.



Figure 2. Some cross-sections of the payoff function π_1 of firm 1 in Cournot's duopoly game, for Question 5.