ECO316: Applied game theory Lecture 8

Martin J. Osborne

Department of Economics University of Toronto

2017.10.26

© 2017 by Martin J. Osborne

Table of contents

Introduction

Independent private values Second-price sealed-bid auction First-price sealed-bid auction

Auctions with common values



Many types of goods are transacted by auction

Art

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber
- Wireless spectrum (for cell phones, TV, ...): revenue from 2008 auction in Canada was \$4.25 billion

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber
- Wireless spectrum (for cell phones, TV, ...): revenue from 2008 auction in Canada was \$4.25 billion
- Ads on search engines (Google ad revenue second quarter of 2016 about \$19 billion)

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber
- Wireless spectrum (for cell phones, TV, ...): revenue from 2008 auction in Canada was \$4.25 billion
- Ads on search engines (Google ad revenue second quarter of 2016 about \$19 billion)
- eBay (2015 sales revenue \$22 billion)

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber
- Wireless spectrum (for cell phones, TV, ...): revenue from 2008 auction in Canada was \$4.25 billion
- Ads on search engines (Google ad revenue second quarter of 2016 about \$19 billion)
- eBay (2015 sales revenue \$22 billion)
- Government contracts

- Art
- Fish, cattle, flowers
- Treasury bills
- Oil tracts, timber
- Wireless spectrum (for cell phones, TV, ...): revenue from 2008 auction in Canada was \$4.25 billion
- Ads on search engines (Google ad revenue second quarter of 2016 about \$19 billion)
- eBay (2015 sales revenue \$22 billion)
- Government contracts
- Repairs to your house

Traders submit bids

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)
 - Descending auction (Dutch auction): bids sequential, price falls, first bidder wins (flowers in Netherlands)

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)
 - Descending auction (Dutch auction): bids sequential, price falls, first bidder wins (flowers in Netherlands)
 - Bids simultaneous (sealed-bid): winner is highest bidder, price may be highest bid or other price (eBay, government contracts, ads on Google, oil tracts)

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)
 - Descending auction (Dutch auction): bids sequential, price falls, first bidder wins (flowers in Netherlands)
 - Bids simultaneous (sealed-bid): winner is highest bidder, price may be highest bid or other price (eBay, government contracts, ads on Google, oil tracts)
 - We will study model of sealed-bid auction

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)
 - Descending auction (Dutch auction): bids sequential, price falls, first bidder wins (flowers in Netherlands)
 - Bids simultaneous (sealed-bid): winner is highest bidder, price may be highest bid or other price (eBay, government contracts, ads on Google, oil tracts)
 - We will study model of sealed-bid auction
- Single item for sale, or multiple interconnected items

- Traders submit bids
- Winner and price depend on bids
- Many types of auction
 - Ascending auction (English auction): bids sequential, price rises, stops when no one wants to bid higher than current bid (art, fish, ...)
 - Descending auction (Dutch auction): bids sequential, price falls, first bidder wins (flowers in Netherlands)
 - Bids simultaneous (sealed-bid): winner is highest bidder, price may be highest bid or other price (eBay, government contracts, ads on Google, oil tracts)
 - We will study model of sealed-bid auction
- Single item for sale, or multiple interconnected items
 - Will consider case of single item for sale

Independent private valuations

Each bidder knows her own valuation of the object for sale

- Each bidder knows her own valuation of the object for sale
- but does not know the other bidders' valuations

- Each bidder knows her own valuation of the object for sale
- ... but does not know the other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder knows the *distribution* of the other bidders' valuations

- Each bidder knows her own valuation of the object for sale
- ... but does not know the other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder knows the *distribution* of the other bidders' valuations
- Specifically, each bidder knows that each other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation

- Each bidder knows her own valuation of the object for sale
- ... but does not know the other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder knows the *distribution* of the other bidders' valuations
- Specifically, each bidder knows that each other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- Known as independent private valuations

Independent private valuations sealed-bid auction Strategic game with imperfect information

Players: the bidders

Independent private valuations sealed-bid auction Strategic game with imperfect information

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations

- Players: the bidders
- Each player can condition her bid on her valuation (which she knows)
 has many possible types one for each possible valuation

 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation



- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation



- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation



- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- For each player i,

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- For each player i,
 - actions are possible bids (numbers)
Independent private valuations sealed-bid auction

Strategic game with imperfect information

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- For each player i,
 - actions are possible bids (numbers)
 - payoff is

Independent private valuations sealed-bid auction

Strategic game with imperfect information

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- For each player i,



► $v_i - p(b_1, ..., b_n)$ if *i*'s bid b_i is higher than bid b_j of every other player *j*, where $p(b_1, ..., b_n)$ is price paid

where v_i is *i*'s valuation

Independent private valuations sealed-bid auction

Strategic game with imperfect information

- Players: the bidders
- Each player i
 - has many possible types—one for each possible valuation
 - knows her own valuation but not other players' valuations
 - believes that other bidders' valuations are drawn independently from same distribution, independently of her own valuation
- For each player i,
 - actions are possible bids (numbers)
 - payoff is
 - ► $v_i p(b_1, ..., b_n)$ if *i*'s bid b_i is higher than bid b_j of every other player *j*, where $p(b_1, ..., b_n)$ is price paid
 - 0 otherwise

where v_i is *i*'s valuation

Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Strategies

A player's strategy specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium

A strategy profile is a Nash equilibrium if the bid specified by each player's strategy for each of her possible valuations (types)

Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium

A strategy profile is a Nash equilibrium if the bid specified by each player's strategy for each of her possible valuations (types) maximizes the player's expected payoff

Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium

Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium



Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium



Strategies

A player's **strategy** specifies a bid for *each* of her possible valuations (types)

Nash equilibrium



The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction

- The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction
- But we now argue that it can be used also to model ascending and descending auctions

- The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction
- But we now argue that it can be used also to model ascending and descending auctions

- The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction
- But we now argue that it can be used also to model ascending and descending auctions

Ascending auctions

What instructions would you give to someone to bid on your behalf?

- The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction
- But we now argue that it can be used also to model ascending and descending auctions

- What instructions would you give to someone to bid on your behalf?
- Give them a maximum amount you are willing to pay, independent of other bids?

- The strategic game explicitly models a sealed-bid auction
- But we now argue that it can be used also to model ascending and descending auctions

- What instructions would you give to someone to bid on your behalf?
- Give them a maximum amount you are willing to pay, independent of other bids?
- Makes sense given that your payoff is independent of everyone else's valuations

Ascending auction

If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?

- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄



- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts low: everyone wants to bid



- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts low: everyone wants to bid
- As price rises, bidders drop out



- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts low: everyone wants to bid
- As price rises, bidders drop out



- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts low: everyone wants to bid
- As price rises, bidders drop out



- If every bidder's strategy is to bid up to some maximum amount, what is outcome?
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts low: everyone wants to bid
- As price rises, bidders drop out
- Once price goes above m₁, bidding stops ⇒ bidder 4 wins and pays price slightly above m₁—second highest limit bid



So we can model an ascending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

So we can model an ascending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

 each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)

So we can model an ascending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid

So we can model an ascending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid
- price is second highest limit bid

So we can model an ascending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid
- price is second highest limit bid

This model is second-price sealed-bid auction

Descending (Dutch) auction

 In descending auction, price starts high and falls until someone bids

- In descending auction, price starts high and falls until someone bids
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄



- In descending auction, price starts high and falls until someone bids
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts high: no one wants to bid



- In descending auction, price starts high and falls until someone bids
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts high: no one wants to bid



- In descending auction, price starts high and falls until someone bids
- Suppose 4 bidders with limit bids m₁, m₂, m₃, and m₄
- Price starts high: no one wants to bid
- When price falls below highest limit bid, bidding stops ⇒ bidder 4 wins and pays price slightly below her limit bid, m₄



So we can model a descending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

So we can model a descending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

 each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)

So we can model a descending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid
Independent private values

So we can model a descending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid
- price is *highest* limit bid

Independent private values

So we can model a descending auction as the strategic game with imperfect information we have defined in which

- each player's action is a limit bid (highest she is willing to pay)
- winner is player with highest limit bid
- price is *highest* limit bid

This model is first-price sealed-bid auction

Single object for sale

- Single object for sale
- n bidders

- Single object for sale
- n bidders
- Each bidder's valuation of object known to her, fixed independently of other bidders' valuations

- Single object for sale
- n bidders
- Each bidder's valuation of object known to her, fixed independently of other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder doesn't know other bidders' valuations; believes each is drawn independently from same distribution

- Single object for sale
- n bidders
- Each bidder's valuation of object known to her, fixed independently of other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder doesn't know other bidders' valuations; believes each is drawn independently from same distribution
- Bids submitted simultaneously

- Single object for sale
- n bidders
- Each bidder's valuation of object known to her, fixed independently of other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder doesn't know other bidders' valuations; believes each is drawn independently from same distribution
- Bids submitted simultaneously
- Bidder who submits highest bid wins

- Single object for sale
- n bidders
- Each bidder's valuation of object known to her, fixed independently of other bidders' valuations
- Each bidder doesn't know other bidders' valuations; believes each is drawn independently from same distribution
- Bids submitted simultaneously
- Bidder who submits highest bid wins
- Price paid by winner is highest losing bid (if there are no ties, second highest bid)

Second-price sealed-bid auction Analysis





Second-price sealed-bid auction Analysis

$|v_i|$ Payoff of *i* if $b_i = v_i$

Vi











Analysis



 \Rightarrow bid of v_i weakly dominates bid of $b_i < v_i$

Note: bidding less in a second-price auction does not affect the price—only possibly the probability of winning



Analysis



 \Rightarrow bid of v_i weakly dominates bid of $b_i > v_i$

Analysis



Proposition

For player *i* with valuation v_i in a second-price sealed-bid auction, the bid v_i weakly dominates all other bids.

Because a player's bidding her valuation weakly dominates all her other actions

Proposition

An independent private values second-price sealed-bid auction has a Nash equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

Because a player's bidding her valuation weakly dominates all her other actions

Proposition

An independent private values second-price sealed-bid auction has a Nash equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

Object is sold to bidder with highest valuation

Because a player's bidding her valuation weakly dominates all her other actions

Proposition

An independent private values second-price sealed-bid auction has a Nash equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

- Object is sold to bidder with highest valuation
- Auction has other equilibria too, but we focus on this one

Because a player's bidding her valuation weakly dominates all her other actions

Proposition

An independent private values second-price sealed-bid auction has a Nash equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

- Object is sold to bidder with highest valuation
- Auction has other equilibria too, but we focus on this one
- Remember that second-price auction models open ascending auction and eBay auction

















 \Rightarrow bid of v_i weakly dominates bid of $b_i > v_i$



Payoff of *i* if $b_i < v_i$

Payoff of *i* if $b_i = v_i$

Vi



 $\overline{b} \rightarrow$







 \Rightarrow bid of v_i is weakly dominated by bid of $b_i < v_i$



Proposition

For player *i* with valuation v_i in a first-price sealed-bid auction, the bid v_i weakly dominates all higher bids but does **not** weakly dominate lower bids. In fact, the bid v_i is weakly dominated by any lower bid.

Example

Two bidders

Example

- Two bidders
- Each bidder *i* believes that other bidder's valuation is distributed uniformly between 0 and 1, independently of v_i


1

n

1

First-price sealed-bid auction

Analysis of example

Claim The auction has a (symmetric) Nash equilibrium in which a player with valuation v bids $\frac{1}{2}v$. That is, each player bids exactly half her valuation.

Analysis of example

Claim The auction has a (symmetric) Nash equilibrium in which a player with valuation v bids $\frac{1}{2}v$. That is, each player bids exactly half her valuation.

Argument

Suppose that for each valuation v₂, bid of player 2 with valuation v₂ is ¹/₂ v₂



Analysis of example

Claim The auction has a (symmetric) Nash equilibrium in which a player with valuation v bids $\frac{1}{2}v$. That is, each player bids exactly half her valuation.

Argument

- Suppose that for each valuation v₂, bid of player 2 with valuation v₂ is ¹/₂ v₂
- Then player 1 believes that player 2's bids are distributed uniformly between 0 and ¹/₂





Suppose player 1 bids b₁



Analysis of example

Suppose player 1 bids b₁

►
$$b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$$



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins
- $b_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ prob. player 1 wins



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins
- $b_1 \le \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ prob. player 1 wins = $\Pr(b_2 < b_1) =$



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins

•
$$b_1 \le \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$$
 prob. player 1 wins
= $\Pr(b_2 < b_1) = 2b_1$



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins
- ► $b_1 \le \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ prob. player 1 wins = $\Pr(b_2 < b_1) = 2b_1$
- So player 1's payoff is

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{cc} \text{if } 0 \leq b_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \text{if } b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \end{array} \right.$$



Analysis of example

Suppose player 1 bids b_1 $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins $b_1 \leq \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ prob. player 1 wins
Prob. of er player 1 wins off is $2b_1$ $2b_1$



- Suppose player 1 bids b₁
- $b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \Rightarrow$ player 1 surely wins
- ► $b_1 \le \frac{1}{2}$ ⇒ prob. player 1 wins = $Pr(b_2 < b_1) = 2b_1$
- So player 1's payoff is

$$\begin{cases} 2b_1(v_1 - b_1) & \text{if } 0 \le b_1 \le \frac{1}{2} \\ v_1 - b_1 & \text{if } b_1 > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$



Analysis of example



Analysis of example



Analysis of example



Analysis of example



Analysis of example



Analysis of example

So far: if, for each valuation v₂, player 2's bid is ¹/₂v₂, then expected payoff of player 1 with valuation v₁ to bidding b₁ is



• Thus best response of player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$

Analysis of example



Analysis of example

So far: if, for each valuation v₂, player 2's bid is ¹/₂v₂, then expected payoff of player 1 with valuation v₁ to bidding b₁ is



• Thus best response of player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$

Example: conclusion

► If, for each valuation v_2 , player 2 with valuation v_2 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_2$, then best bid for player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$

Example: conclusion

- ► If, for each valuation v_2 , player 2 with valuation v_2 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_2$, then best bid for player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$
- Argument for player 2 is symmetric: if, for each valuation v₁, player 1 with valuation v₁ bids ¹/₂v₁ then best bid of player 2 with valuation v₂ is ¹/₂v₂

Example: conclusion

- ► If, for each valuation v_2 , player 2 with valuation v_2 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_2$, then best bid for player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$
- ► Argument for player 2 is symmetric: if, for each valuation v_1 , player 1 with valuation v_1 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_1$ then best bid of player 2 with valuation v_2 is $\frac{1}{2}v_2$
- So auction has Nash equilibrium in which each player with valuation v_i bids ¹/₂v_i

Example: conclusion

- ► If, for each valuation v_2 , player 2 with valuation v_2 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_2$, then best bid for player 1 with valuation v_1 is $\frac{1}{2}v_1$
- ► Argument for player 2 is symmetric: if, for each valuation v_1 , player 1 with valuation v_1 bids $\frac{1}{2}v_1$ then best bid of player 2 with valuation v_2 is $\frac{1}{2}v_2$
- So auction has Nash equilibrium in which each player with valuation v_i bids ¹/₂v_i
- In this equilibrium, as in equilibrium in second-price auction, object is sold to bidder with highest valuation

Example: interpretation of equilibrium bids

When player *i* has valuation v_i, she wins if and only if player *j*'s valuation v_i is less than v_i: 0 ≤ v_i ≤ v_i

Example: interpretation of equilibrium bids

- When player *i* has valuation v_i, she wins if and only if player *j*'s valuation v_j is less than v_i: 0 ≤ v_j ≤ v_i
- Over all cases in which player j's valuation is less than v_i, expected value of player j's valuation is ¹/₂v_i

Example: interpretation of equilibrium bids

- When player *i* has valuation v_i, she wins if and only if player *j*'s valuation v_j is less than v_i: 0 ≤ v_j ≤ v_i
- Over all cases in which player j's valuation is less than v_i, expected value of player j's valuation is ¹/₂v_i
- Thus equilibrium bid of player *i* with valuation v_i is expected value of player *j*'s valuation conditional on that valuation being less than v_i: E(v_j | v_j < v_i)

Generalizing the example

Suppose there are n bidders

- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)

- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen

- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X


- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X



- Suppose there are n bidders
- Choose n 1 valuations randomly and independently (remember that every bidder believes every other bidder's valuation is drawn independently from same distribution)
- ► The highest of these n 1 valuations is a random variable: its value depends on the n - 1 valuations that were chosen
- Denote the highest of the n 1 valuations by X







- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v

Generalizing the example



Fix a valuation v

Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v
- Consider the distribution of X in those cases in which X < v</p>



- Fix a valuation v
- Some values of X are less than v; others are greater than v
- Consider the distribution of X in those cases in which X < v</p>
- The expected value of this distribution is denoted E(X | X < v): the expected value of X conditional on X being less than v

Analogy: birthdays

 Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group
- What is E(X | X < May 1): expected *latest* birthday before May 1?

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group
- What is E(X | X < May 1): expected *latest* birthday before May 1?
- If one person in group,

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group
- What is E(X | X < May 1): expected *latest* birthday before May 1?
- ▶ If one person in group, E(X | X < May 1) is around March 1

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group
- What is E(X | X < May 1): expected *latest* birthday before May 1?
- \blacktriangleright If one person in group, E(X | X < May 1) is around March 1
- ► As size of group increases, E(X | X < May 1) approaches

- Birthdays (month, day) in group of people are more or less uniformly distributed from January 1 to December 31
- Expected birthday is around July 1
- Let X be latest birthday in group
- What is E(X | X < May 1): expected *latest* birthday before May 1?
- ▶ If one person in group, E(X | X < May 1) is around March 1
- As size of group increases, E(X | X < May 1) approaches April 30

Generalizing the example

Proposition

Generalizing the example

Proposition

For any number of bidders and any distribution of valuations, a first-price sealed-bid auction has a Nash equilibrium in which a player with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} \mid \mathbf{X} < v)$, the expected value of the highest of the other players' valuations conditional on v being higher than all the other valuations.

That is, when deciding how much to bid, each bidder asks: Over all cases in which my valuation is the highest, what is expectation of highest of other players' valuations?

Generalizing the example

Proposition

- That is, when deciding how much to bid, each bidder asks: Over all cases in which my valuation is the highest, what is expectation of highest of other players' valuations?
- This expectation is the amount she bids

Generalizing the example

Proposition

- That is, when deciding how much to bid, each bidder asks: Over all cases in which my valuation is the highest, what is expectation of highest of other players' valuations?
- This expectation is the amount she bids
- Comparative static: more bidders ⇒ E(X | X < v) higher ⇒ bids higher

Generalizing the example

Proposition

- That is, when deciding how much to bid, each bidder asks: Over all cases in which my valuation is the highest, what is expectation of highest of other players' valuations?
- This expectation is the amount she bids
- Comparative static: more bidders ⇒ E(X | X < v) higher ⇒ bids higher
- Very large number of bidders $\Rightarrow E(X \mid X < v)$ close to v

First-price auction

First-price auction

Bidder with valuation v bids

First-price auction

• Bidder with valuation v bids E(X | X < v)

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v^* , who pays

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids E(X | X < v)
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays E(X | X < v*)</p>

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids E(X | X < v)
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction
First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

Bidder with valuation v bids

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

Bidder with valuation v bids v

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids E(X | X < v)
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids v
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v^* , who pays

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids v
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays price equal to second-highest bid, the expected value of which is

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids v
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays price equal to second-highest bid, the expected value of which is E(X | X < v*)

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids v
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays price equal to second-highest bid, the expected value of which is E(X | X < v*)

Proposition (Revenue equivalence)

Under our assumptions, first- and second-price auctions yield the same expected revenue

First-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids $E(\mathbf{X} | \mathbf{X} < v)$
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays
 E(X | X < v*)

Second-price auction

- Bidder with valuation v bids v
- Winner is bidder with highest valuation v*, who pays price equal to second-highest bid, the expected value of which is E(X | X < v*)

Proposition (Revenue equivalence)

Under our assumptions, first- and second-price auctions yield the same expected revenue

Note: assumptions include risk-neutrality (payoffs v - p)

Auctions with private valuations: Summary Second-price auction

- Bid = valuation weakly dominates every other bid
- Equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

Auctions with private valuations: Summary Second-price auction

- Bid = valuation weakly dominates every other bid
- Equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

First-price auction

- Bid = valuation weakly dominates higher bids, but not lower bids
- Equilibrium in which every player bids less than her valuation
- The more bidders there are, the higher the bids

Auctions with private valuations: Summary Second-price auction

- Bid = valuation weakly dominates every other bid
- Equilibrium in which every player bids her valuation

First-price auction

- Bid = valuation weakly dominates higher bids, but not lower bids
- Equilibrium in which every player bids less than her valuation
- The more bidders there are, the higher the bids

Revenue equivalence

 Expected revenue of seller is same in first- and second-price auctions

In many auctions, bidders' valuations are not independent

- In many auctions, bidders' valuations are not independent
- Instead, bidders' valuations may be related to each other

- In many auctions, bidders' valuations are not independent
- Instead, bidders' valuations may be related to each other
- Even a buyer of a work of art may care about its resale value, which depends on other people's valuations of it

- In many auctions, bidders' valuations are not independent
- Instead, bidders' valuations may be related to each other
- Even a buyer of a work of art may care about its resale value, which depends on other people's valuations of it
- Interdependence of values introduces considerations not present when values are independent

Drilling for oil

All firms value oil in the same way

- All firms value oil in the same way
- But no firm knows amount available

- All firms value oil in the same way
- But no firm knows amount available
- Each firm privately takes a sample, which provides information about amount available

- All firms value oil in the same way
- But no firm knows amount available
- Each firm privately takes a sample, which provides information about amount available
- Samples differ, so estimates of amount available based on samples differ

Drilling for oil

Each player's prior belief

 $V \rightarrow$





Drilling for oil



Each player sees only her own sample



- Each player sees only her own sample
- On basis of sample and prior belief, forms probabilistic estimate of value



- Each player sees only her own sample
- On basis of sample and prior belief, forms probabilistic estimate of value
- Different players get different samples and form different estimates



- Each player sees only her own sample
- On basis of sample and prior belief, forms probabilistic estimate of value
- Different players get different samples and form different estimates



- Each player sees only her own sample
- On basis of sample and prior belief, forms probabilistic estimate of value
- Different players get different samples and form different estimates
- Suppose each player bids according to her own estimate



• Highest bid wins \Rightarrow player with best sample wins



- Highest bid wins \Rightarrow player with best sample wins
- ► Best sample typically overestimates value ⇒ winner incurs loss



- ► Highest bid wins ⇒ player with best sample wins
- ► Best sample typically overestimates value ⇒ winner incurs loss
- Effect is known as winner's curse



 If player wins, she knows other players' estimates of value are lower than hers



- If player wins, she knows other players' estimates of value are lower than hers
- She should taken this information into account, and base her bid on estimate of value conditional on winning (given other players' strategies)

Getting your roof fixed

You get three estimates to fix your roof

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000
- Second roofer: correctly estimates the difficulty of the job; gives you estimate of \$2,000

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000
- Second roofer: correctly estimates the difficulty of the job; gives you estimate of \$2,000
- Third roofer: doesn't notice the tricky bit at the back; gives you estimate of \$1,500

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000
- Second roofer: correctly estimates the difficulty of the job; gives you estimate of \$2,000
- Third roofer: doesn't notice the tricky bit at the back; gives you estimate of \$1,500
- You choose the lowest estimate—the third one, based on an underestimate of the difficulty of the job

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000
- Second roofer: correctly estimates the difficulty of the job; gives you estimate of \$2,000
- Third roofer: doesn't notice the tricky bit at the back; gives you estimate of \$1,500
- You choose the lowest estimate—the third one, based on an underestimate of the difficulty of the job
- The winner makes a loss

- You get three estimates to fix your roof
- First roofer: thinks the bit around the chimney will be hard to do; gives you estimate of \$3,000
- Second roofer: correctly estimates the difficulty of the job; gives you estimate of \$2,000
- Third roofer: doesn't notice the tricky bit at the back; gives you estimate of \$1,500
- You choose the lowest estimate—the third one, based on an underestimate of the difficulty of the job
- The winner makes a loss
- The lesson: estimates should take into account that you will choose the *lowest* one

Summary

 Even for second-price rule, not an equilibrium in common value auction for each player to bid her valuation based on her own information

Summary

- Even for second-price rule, not an equilibrium in common value auction for each player to bid her valuation based on her own information
- Players who do so suffer "winner's curse"

Summary

- Even for second-price rule, not an equilibrium in common value auction for each player to bid her valuation based on her own information
- Players who do so suffer "winner's curse"
- Instead, player should base her bid on her estimate of her valuation of the object over all cases in which all other bids are lower than hers, given the other players' equilibrium strategies